

# NSW RFS Monaro FCC Helipad – Rotor Downwash Review

# Prepared for NSW Public Works Advisory

ACG Rep: E22056-01-YPFT-REP-0001-A

20 February 2024

E22056-01-YPFT-REP-0001-A Helipad Rotor Down Wash Report.docx



# DOCUMENT CONTROL

Office:	Airport Consultancy Group - Engineering ABN 43 138 051 493 1 Lake Orr Drive Varsity Lakes, QLD, 4227 Tel (Office): 07 5562 1800 Tel (Mobile): 0422 447 622 Web: <u>www.acgaustralia.com.au</u>
Project Name:	NSW RFS Monaro FCC Helipad
Project Number:	E22056-01
File Name:	E22056-01-YPFT-REP-0001-A Helipad Rotar wash report.docx
Document Tile:	Helicopter Rotor Downwash – NSW RFS Monaro FCC Helipad
Prepared by:	Kevin Fricker
Prepared for:	NSW Public Works Advisory

Revision history:

REVISION	REVIEWED BY	APPROVED BY	DATE APPROVED	<b>REVISION TYPE</b>
А	Kevin Fricker	Peter Owen	29/02/2024	For information
SIGNATURE	Je -	-		

COPYRIGHT: The concepts and information contained in this document are the property of Airport Consultancy Group Pty Ltd. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of Airport Consultancy Group constitutes an infringement of copyright.

LIMITATION: This report has been prepared on behalf of and for the exclusive use of Airport Consultancy Group Pty Ltd Client and is subject to and issued in connection with the provisions of the agreement between Airport Consultancy Group and its Client. Airport Consultancy Group accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report by any third party.



## CONTENTS

2	Rotor Downwash	3
3	Approach and Take-off path alignment	5
4	Helipad Siting	5
5	<b>Visual Aids</b> Bookmark not defined.	Error!
6	Annexure A: Aircraft Downwash Data and Beaufort Wind Force Scale	6
7	Annexure B: Concept Layout Design	8

## **1** MINIMUM HELIPAD CLEARANCE REQUIREMENTS

Refer to 7: Annexure B: Concept Layout Design for illustration of conceptual layout of the helipad.

These must be regarded as the **MINIMUM requirements** in accordance with:

- CASA Advisory circular 139 R-01 Guidelines for heliports design and-operation.
- CASA Advisory Circular 91-29 Guidelines for helicopters suitable places to take off and land.

Based on the application of the D-value being 20.0m the following required clearances are required:

Characteristic	Classification	Dimension
D-value	D	20m
TLOF	1D	20m
Helicopter Stand	1.2D	24m
FATO	1.5D	30m
Protection Area	2D	40m
Helicopter Safety Area	2D	40m

Table 1-1 - Essential Clearance Areas

#### 2 ROTOR DOWNWASH

Rotor downwash occurs during helicopter hover in close proximity to a ground surface. It has the potential to cause significant damage to nearby vehicles and objects, as well as people. Rotor downwash considerations are recommended in AC 139.R-01 v1.0 Section 2.2.2, which infers that NO non-essential personnel or any objects, structures that can be blown loose should be within 2 to 3 x Rotar Diameter of the FATO. Refer to Annexure A: Aircraft Downwash Data and Beaufort Wind Force Scale.

In the case of the RFS Monaro FCC, this stipulates an area of radius 64.08m from centre of the helipad (Refer to **Figure 2-1: Site Plan**). This impact area needs to be kept clear of people and cars unless there is some sort of physical barrier to protect them from the helicopter downwash.

The downwash area (radius of 64.08m) is shown below as a red hatched circle area for the critical aircraft (UH-70). This hatch area does infringe the northern, eastern & western boundaries. With the northern & eastern exposures being vacant and open grassland area while the western exposure consisting of several industrial sites. It should be noted that the other considered design aircraft (AW139 & B412) only infringe the eastern boundary of the site.





Figure 2-1: Site Plan

Table 2: Summary of p	potential risks to	people, buildings	. aircraft and	helicopters
		people, buildings	, an crajt ana	nencopters

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Mitigation
People	Secondary effects of Foreign Object Debris (FOD) such as dust and sand or other objects becoming airborne causing injury	Ensuring that the helicopter movement areas have an appropriate surface and designing helicopter movement areas away from people
Buildings	Operational effects on hangars and other building structures resulting in damage to cladding or other structure elements exceeding wind design loads	Designing the helicopter movement areas away from buildings or ensuring buildings are designed to withstand additional load

While not directly related to helicopter rotor downwash, Australia's Civil Aviation Safety Authority (CASA) currently defines the recommended maximum wind velocities affecting people, objects and buildings in the vicinity of an aeroplane in the Manual of Standards (MOS) Part 139.

#### AC 139.R-01 v1.0 Section 2.2.2 refers to downwash:

- 2.2.2.1 When manoeuvring at slow speeds, especially during take-off and landing, helicopters generate significant rotor downwash extending out to a distance of 2 to 3 rotor diameters below the generating aircraft. This downwash produces effects comparable to high and gusty wind conditions which may cause building materials (e.g., roofing and cladding), fixtures and light structures to become detached.
  2.2.2.2 The design of a FATO should minimize the exposure of persons or loose objects to the downwash of helicopters. Within a distance of 3 rotor diameters from the FATO, no loose objects or light cladding should be allowed in areas
- Within a distance of 3 rotor diameters from the FATO, no loose objects or light cladding should be allowed in areas which might be overflown by helicopters at low level, and no non-essential personnel should be present in these areas during helicopter operations. The backwards or sideways initial climb phase of a category A procedure should also be considered when assessing areas sensitive to the potential exposure to helicopter rotor wash. Experience suggests, when adopting these procedures, the characteristics of the downwash may exhibit a hard jet on the surface, which though localized, can nevertheless be quite intense.
- 2.2.2.3 Provided the elements of the infrastructure surrounding the heliport are designed to withstand gusty conditions up to **Beaufort scale 10/11**, no extra measures should be required to protect the structure against regular planned helicopter operations.



#### 3 APPROACH AND TAKE-OFF PATH ALIGNMENT

Helipads (ground or elevated) have a series of surfaces to protect the airspace from obstacles. These surfaces include Approach, Transitional & Take-off.

There are three slope design Categories (A, B & C), with slopes ranging from 4.5% (1:22.2) to 12.5% (1:8).

The location of the helipad should ensure that these surfaces are not infringed. It is recommended by ICAO Annex 14 Vol 2 that a helipad has two Take-off climb / approach surfaces. They may be in line or at an angle to allow for variable wind direction or perform a missed approach procedure.

The optimal alignment for approach and take-off would be the same or as close as possible to that of the existing Cooma-Polo Flats Airfield Runway 18/36 (180/360degrees). This alignment would need to be validated by Aeronautical Study.

#### 4 HELIPAD POSITION

- 1. The defined Safety Area, and Protective Surfaces must be regarded as MINIMUM clearance requirements for the Monaro FCC layout and movement.
- 2. The additional Downwash impact area is a RECOMMENDATION for safety reasons and should also be considered for mitigation in the RFS Monaro FCC layout.
- 3. For 1 and 2 this implies that the Ground Based Helipad options poses more restrictions to the layout options and height restrictions on buildings, Fences, Trees and development of adjacent properties.
- 4. An Aeronautical Study and Risk Assessment would be required as an outcome for the helipad. This is in part due to and in consideration of:
  - proximity to the carpark & neighbouring sites.
  - approach and take-off, which may impact on:
    - o construction height restrictions,
    - o noise pollution and require assessment and approvals.
  - Types of aircraft operating in the area.
  - Take-off and approach paths at bearings 150& 360 magnetic.
  - This study would be conducted on a similar basis as is those being done at in Queensland at Kingaroy, Millmerran, Esk and Laidley, and other high-risk sites in North Queensland at present.

#### 5 SUMMARY

The proposed position of the helipad as shown in **Figure 2-1: Site Plan** generally satisfies the location requirement for the rotor downwash, however the downwash area does extend into the car park and training ground.

Mitigation measures can be used within the site and for the external fence line to make persons aware of the presence of rotor down wash during helicopter take off and landings. These measures can be a combination of signage (internal and on boundary fence), operational awareness inductions & manuals. The proposed helicopter hangar will provide a level of protection to the area outside the western boundary during the initial liftoff and final touchdown of flight.

The indicated flight paths to the north & southeast will need to need to be assessed and a would need to be validated by Aeronautical Study.

Any building or structure within the affected area would need to be assessed by a qualified structural engineer for suitability against the rotor down wash. During aircraft operations the helipad and surrounding areas are to be free of loose items that may present a FOD risk to operating aircraft & immediate vicinity.



## 6 ANNEXURE A: AIRCRAFT DOWNWASH DATA AND BEAUFORT WIND FORCE SCALE

#### AC 139.R-01 v1.0 - GUIDELINES FOR HELIPORTS - DESIGN AND OPERATION

#### A.1.1 The following table provides data on the downwash impact associated with common helicopter types

#### Table 12: Aircraft downwash data

Helicopter Data					Peak Wind Velocity					
Туре	МТОЖ	Rotor Diameter	Disc Loading	Radius @	Radius @ 40km/h		Radius @ 60 km/h		Radius @ 80 km/h	
	(kg)	(m)	(kg/m2)	(radii)	(m)	(radii)	(m)	(radii)	(m)	
AW101	15600	18.6	57.47	7	65	5.5	51	4.1	38	
S92	12565	17.2	54.27	6.8	58	5.4	46	4.1	35	
H225	11200	16.2	54.34	6.8	55	5.4	44	4.1	33	
B525	9299	16.6	42.91	6	50	4.8	40	3.7	31	
AW189	8300	14.6	49.58	6.5	47	5.2	38	3.9	29	
H175	7800	14.8	45.34	6.2	46	5	37	3.8	28	
AW139	6800	13.8	45.46	6.2	43	5	34	3.8	26	
H160	6050	13.4	42.9	6	40	4.8	32	3.7	25	
Bell 412	5398	14	34.97	5.5	38	4.1	29	3.5	25	
S76	5306	13.4	37.57	5.6	38	4.4	29	3.6	24	
AW169	4800	12.1	41.61	5.9	36	4.7	29	3.7	22	
H145	3800	11	39.99	5.8	32	4.6	25	3.7	20	
Bell 429	3175	11	33.41	5.3	29	4	22	3.5	19	
EC135	2980	10.4	35.08	5.5	28	4.2	22	3.5	18	
UH60	9979	16.36	DATA NOT AVAILABLE							



## **Beaufort wind force scale**

Beaufort wind	Mean Wi	nd Speed		Limits of	wind Speed	Wind descriptive		
scale	Knots	m/s	km/hr	Knots	m/s			
0	0	0	0	<1	0-0.2	Calm	Smoke rises vertically. Sea like a mirror	
1	2	0.8	2.9	1-3	0.3-1.5	Light air	Direction shown by smoke drift but not by wind vanes.	
2	5	2.4	8.6	4-6	1.6-3.3	Light breeze	Wind felt on face; leaves rustle; wind vane moved by wind.	
3	9	4.3	15.5	7-10	3.4-5.4	Gentle breeze	Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; light flags extended.	
4	13	6.7	24.1	11-16	5.5-7.9	Moderate breeze	Raises dust and loose paper; small branches moved.	
5	19	9.3	33.5	17-21	8.0-10.7	Fresh breeze	Small trees in leaf begin to sway; crested wavelets form on inland waters.	
6	24	12.3	44.3	22-27	10.8-13.8	Strong breeze	Large branches in motion;	
7	30	15.5	55.8	28-33	13.9-17.1	Near gale	Whole trees in motion; inconvenience felt when walking against the wind.	
8	37	18.9	68.0	34-40	17.2-20.7	Gale	Twigs break off trees, generally impedes progress.	
9	44	22.6	81.4	41-47	20.8-24.4	Severe gale	Slight structural damage (chimney pots and slates removed).	
10	52	26.4	95.0	48-55	24.5-28.4	Storm	Seldom experienced inland; trees uprooted; considerable structural damage.	
11	60	30.5	109.8	56-63	28.5-32.6	Violent storm	Very rarely experienced, accompanied by widespread damage.	
12	-	-	+ 118	64+	32.7+	Hurricane	Devastation. Air filled with foam and spray, very poor visibility	



### 7 ANNEXURE B: CONCEPT LAYOUT DESIGN

